

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				10 miles
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,700	04/20/2006	Jean-Pierre Vaubourg	6225-0001WOUS	7199
	10/576,700 04/20/2006 Jean-Pierre Vaubourg	EXAMINER		
·			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3765		
				•
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· H	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,700	VAUBOURG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shaun R. Hurley	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncertainty.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a personal subject to restriction and pers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to	ndrawn from consideration. Ind/or election requirement. Indicate the indicate of the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ie Ezaminei. Note the attacht	TO THE ACTION OF TORMS FIRE 10-102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/20/06.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/576,700

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 4, lines 8-9:

"0,2" is unknown

"1,0" is unknown

"0,2" is unknown

"0,5" is unknown

Page 7, line 20:

"0,38" is unknown

Page 8, line 30:

"0,38" is unknown

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 17, 23, 24, and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In regards to claim 17, line 5, Examiner wonders if "said crimping" should read --said crimping means--

In regards to claim 23, the terms "0,2" and "1,0" are unknown

In regards to claim 24, the phrase "said pair" is not previously disclosed in the claims

In regards to claim 26, the phrase "said pair" is not previously disclosed in the claim Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 14, 17, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watakabe (5111649).

Watakabe teaches a method for manufacturing a wire cord comprising bundling a plurality of wires in a bundling die in such a way to form a side-by-side bundle, crimping the wires by passing the bundle between meshing toothed wheels, and twisting together the plurality of crimped wires using twisting means along a twisting path, wherein the meshing toothed wheels are located at the beginning of the twisting path. In regards to adjustability of the toothed wheels, anything can be adjusted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 18, 20-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watakabe.

Watakabe essentially teaches the invention as detailed above, including a twisting means, but fails to specifically teach rotor and deflection pulley twisting means, which is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have utilized such a twisting means, so as to provide the necessary twist to the crimped wires into a finished wire cord. Watakabe does not specify a particular twisting device

Application/Control Number: 10/576,700

Art Unit: 3765

because its structure does not affect the invention as taught. Any suitable twisting means would provide the necessary twist, including a well-known rotor and deflection pulley type twister.

With regards to the limitation of a spacing of 30mm to 60mm, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed spacing or any unexpected results arising therefrom, and that as such the spacing of 30mm to 60mm was arbitrary and therefore obvious. Such spacing limitation cannot be a basis for patentability, since where patentability is said to be based upon diameter or another spacing or another variable in the claim, the applicant must show that the spacing of 30mm to 60mm is critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). One having ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine though routine experimentation the ideal dimension for a particular application. Likewise the gap to thickness ratio, thickness to diameter ratio, and wire thickness.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Van Giel et al (5581990), Noferi (6446423), and Senyagin et al (4802328) all teach what is well known in the art.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 8:00 am 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,700

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

SRH 14 November 2007